



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,678	04/10/2001	Tadayuki Suzuki	0425-0821P	3254
2292	7590 06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH、VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1616	
		1	DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	09/744,678	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alton N. Pryor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ma	arch 2005.					
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
,—) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 2 <u>1</u> 3.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,7,8,13-20 and 27-34</u> is/are pending	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,8,13-20 and 27-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>1,13-15,17-20,29 and 34</u> is/are allowed.						
•) Claim(s) <u>7,8,16,27,28 and 30-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	,	, ,				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f),				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	· •	J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

486

Application/Control Number: 09/744,678

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16,31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims are unclear to examiner. Applicant describes component (A) as a sugar or sugar alcohol then later as a glycoside. Is the glycoside the sugar and sugar alcohol?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,8,27,28,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McArdle (US 5747416; 5/5/98) and Brantley (US 3929448; 12/30/75).

McArdle teaches a method of applying a composition comprising a proteinpolysaccharide to plants and cut flowers in order to preserve them. See abstract,
column 1 lines 5-36. McArdle does not teach the application of sugar to flowers in order
to preserve them. However, Brantley teaches a method of applying a composition
comprising sugar to cut flowers (plants) in order to preserve them. See abstract, claims

Application/Control Number: 09/744,678

Art Unit: 1616

1 and 7. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of McArdle and Brantley to arrive at a single method comprising the application of a single composition comprising protein-polysaccharide and sugar. One would have been motivated to do this since both references individually teach a method of preserving flowers.

Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,13-15,17-20,29,34 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the invention comprising the bonding of a hydrophobic group to a sugar via glycoside, ester or amide linkage.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,678

Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616